

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/694074	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Frederick J. Parker	1762	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Frederick J. Parker</u> .	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>T. Chan</u>	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>8/29/105</u>	Time: _____	
Type of Interview:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference		
<input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. <i>8/29: I initiated call to suggest incorporating to end of claim 51 language consistent with Spec. P. 19, L. 28+ to recite a positive step that the biological agent is applied which is subsequently engaged in a biochemical interaction. We also discussed the meaning of the "without degrading..." limitation to ensure clarity.</i> <i>8/31: the Examiner left message noting an Office Action would be issued because of the discovery of new prior art.</i>		
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)